## **Project Case Study Scan Record**


Institution & Clinician Information						
Name	CM					
Practice	All Toe-gether Podiatry	Location	UK			


	Background Patient Information					
Sex	F	Age	80	Consent given to sharing this report [Y/N] Y		
Background medical condition		n	N/A			
(only what is condition)	s relevai	nt to the podi	odiatric			
Reason for podiatrist visit				Routine Treatment – Presenting Complaint at the time – Pa have had swelling on the side of my foot for eight days, the see what you think and if it doesn't get any better I can hav	Doctor said	
Previous interventions, e.g. if		1	N/A			
follow-up visit to a previous						
<b>Podium scan</b> (only if relevant for current podiatric condition)		r				


Current Session Information				
Clinician's examination /	Initial scan due to presentation and no known injury, medical complaint			
assessment / test results / findings	etc.			
Clinician's intervention / advice	Orthotics prescribed			
given / medication prescribed				

PODIUM Scan Details and Clinical Assessment							
Date of previous Podius	N/A						
Date of this scan 28/06/2022			Time of this scan		13:51PM		
Patient ID or code used	in Podium Portal		PAT66		Room temperature	21	
Time between "socks o	ff" and scan [mins]		5		Est. duration of		
Scan made before [B], o	during [D] or after [A]	ab	ove Session?	В	scanning process [mins]	10	
Clinician's interpretation of scans (if follow-up scan from a previous visit, include any changes to previous status)  Details of subsequent intervention			R/F 3 degrees hotter – over 2.5 degrees – cause for concern / monitoring – prescribe orthotics to support foot function and limit any possible joint damage as at present unknown cause.  12/07/22				
Details as to the influence on patient management decisions			Without the scan the treatment pathway would have been refer straight to GP rather than inhouse orthotic prescription and follow up management by further scanning.				
Patient benefit from intervention			Patient stood up and said that she was instantly more comfortable, this is a lady who previously we have never seen smile, and this raised a smile which was a fantastic result for clinician and patient!			ever seen	
Details of any institutional benefits			Confidence in decision making and diagnosis due to insight from scan.			insight	
Details of where the podium system was positioned in the care pathway			Primary				
Thermetrix Internal Use							

Reference number	
File location	
Comments	







## **Project Case Study Scan Record**

Institution & Clinician Information						
Name	Carl & Serena Morris		Glanhafren Market Hall			
Practice		Location	Newtown, Powys and			
	All Toe-gether Podiatry	Location	Shropshire Hills Discovery			
			Centre, Craven Arms SY7 9RS			

	Background Patient Information						
Sex	F	Age	80	Consent given to sharing this report [Y/N]			
Background medical condition (only what is relevant to the podiatric condition)				N/A			
Reason for podiatrist visit				Follow up Podium Scan			
Previous interventions, e.g. if follow-up visit to a previous			Orthotic prescription – Basic Full Length Medium Density MLA with no additions				
<b>Podium scan</b> (only if relevant for current podiatric condition)		r					

Current Session Information				
Clinician's examination / 10g Monofilament Detected B/F				
assessment / test results / findings				
Clinician's intervention / advice	After orthotics were prescribed			
given / medication prescribed				

PODIUM Scan Details and Clinical Assessment						
Date of previous Podius	m scan session (if applic	able)				
Date of this scan	12/07/2022	Time of this scan		15:51PM		
Patient ID or code used	in Podium Portal	PAT66		Room temperature 21		
Time between "socks o	ff" and scan [mins]	5		Est. duration of		
Scan made before [B], o	during [D] or after [A] a	bove Session?	В	scanning process [mins]	10	
Clinician's interpretatio (if follow-up scan from a include any changes to	Temperature differential between feet very much improved to previous scan, Feet are more symmetrical Dynamic scaling - hot spot L/digits and pl L/3rd MTPJ compared to R/F and larger area of L/F hotter than R/F. 1 degree hotter L/3rd MTPJ area to R/3rd MTPJ area.					
Details of subsequent in	Not required at present, review and assess in 6-12 months according to patients progress.					
Details as to the influer management decisions	•	s no 2.	ons not required as can b 5 degree difference betw or patient records			
Patient benefit from in	Pain reduction, improved quality of life in a very short time scale, no long waiting lists for treatment following further investigations, consultations etc.					
Details of any institutio	Accurate monitoring of condition which is measured, better understanding and measurement of treatment outcomes and what is successful.					
Details of where the po positioned in the care p	Primary					

Thermetrix Internal Use				
Reference number	BHEC#001			
File location				
Comments				

